

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BAYMARK FINANCIAL, INC.,

No. C-13-4264 EMC

Plaintiff,

**ORDER RE REFERRAL NOTICE**

v.

KEVIN SMITH, *et al.*,Defendants.  

---


Defendant Rita Schoenborn has appealed this Court's disposition of the above-referenced case. *See* Docket No. 12 (notice of appeal). The Ninth Circuit has made a referral to the undersigned for the limited purpose of determining whether *in forma pauperis* status should continue for the appeal or whether the appeal is frivolous or taken in bad faith.

The Court concludes that the appeal is frivolous, and therefore *in forma pauperis* status should not continue for the appeal. To the extent Ms. Schoenborn challenges this Court's conclusion that subject matter jurisdiction is lacking, 28 U.S.C. § 1447(d) prohibits appellate review. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1447(d) (providing that "[a]n order remanding a case to the State court from which it was removed is not reviewable on appeal or otherwise"); *Harmston v. City & County of San Francisco*, 627 F.3d 1273, 1277 (9th Cir. 2010) (explaining that a remand based on lack of subject matter jurisdiction is not appealable in light of § 1447(d)). While § 1447(d) allows for an appeal from a remand order where the removal was made pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1442 or 1443, Ms. Schoenborn's filings did not articulate any plausible basis for invocation of those statutes.

1 Accordingly, the Court revokes Ms. Schoenborn's *in forma pauperis* status. **The Clerk of**  
2 **the Court is instructed to *immediately* notify the Ninth Circuit of this determination.**

3  
4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5  
6 Dated: October 31, 2013

7  
8   
9 EDWARD M. CHEN  
10 United States District Judge  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28